

Luminous Mid-IR Selected Obscured Quasars at Cosmic Noon in SDSS Stripe82 II: Spectroscopic Diversity and Broad H α Emissions

Ben Wang^{1,2*}, Yuzo Ishikawa³, Joseph F. Hennawi^{2,4}, Zheng Cai¹, Gordon T. Richards⁵, Nadia L. Zakamska^{6,7}, Daming Yang², Jan-Torge Schindler⁸

¹*Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

²*Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Leiden 2333 CA, Netherland*

³*MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

⁴*Department of Physics, Broida Hall, University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9530, USA*

⁵*Department of Physics, Drexel University, 32 S. 32nd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA*

⁶*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Bloomberg Center, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*

⁷*Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

⁸*Hamburg Observatory, Gojenbergsweg 112, 21029 Hamburg, Germany*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present a multiwavelength spectroscopic survey of 23 luminous mid-infrared–selected Type-2 quasars at the redshifts of $z = 0.88\text{--}3.49$. The targets were selected in the SDSS Stripe 82 field based on their bright WISE 22 μm detections (flux > 5 mJy) and extremely faint or red optical counterparts (e.g., $r > 23$ or $r - W4 > 8.4$), designed to identify heavily obscured quasars. Deep near-infrared (Gemini/GNIRS) and optical (Keck/LRIS and KCWI) spectroscopy confirm 23 out of 24 candidates as Type-2 quasars in this redshift range, including 12 objects at $z > 2$. The spectra exhibit strong rest-frame UV and optical emission lines (Ly α , C IV, [O III], H α) with a wide range of line widths, indicating significant spectral diversity. Approximately one-third of the sample (8 of 23) shows broad H α emission (FWHM > 2000 km s $^{-1}$) despite their Type-2 classification, while the rest have only narrow lines (FWHM < 2000 km s $^{-1}$) characteristic of classical obscured quasars. Notably, these broad-line Type-2 quasars share similar spectral energy distributions with the JWST-discovered “little red dot” (LRD) AGNs, suggesting that our sample could be lower-redshift analogues of the heavily obscured broad-line AGNs uncovered by JWST. We also find that the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission is relatively weak for their high bolometric luminosities, deviating from trends seen in lower- z Type-2 QSOs. A new composite spectrum for Type-2 QSOs is built using our sample. Overall, our results demonstrate that mid-IR selection efficiently uncovers a diverse population of obscured quasars and that spectroscopic follow-up is crucial for revealing their true nature. This study provides new insights into heavily obscured SMBH growth at cosmic noon and bridges the gap to the obscured AGN populations now being revealed by JWST.

Key words: galaxies: high-redshift - quasars: general - quasars: supermassive black holes - quasars: emission lines - infrared:galaxies

1 INTRODUCTION

Quasars, or quasi-stellar objects (QSOs), are among the most luminous objects in the universe, powered by accretion onto supermassive black holes (SMBHs) at their centers (Schmidt 1963). Their intense radiation originates from the accretion of matter onto the SMBH, producing high-energy emission across multiple wavelengths. According to the classical “unified” model (Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995) for active galactic nuclei (AGN), there are two different types of AGNs. In Type-1, or unobscured, AGNs, both continuum emission from the accretion disk region and broad emission lines

from the broad line region can be detected. The other type are called Type-2, or obscured, AGN. In this case, the line of sight to the broad emission line and the central continuum regions are obscured by the dusty torus, so only the narrow emission lines can be detected. In the classical “unified” model, the viewing angle is the main reason to cause the difference of these two types of AGNs. Another scenario is that the difference between Type-1 and Type-2 QSOs is due to evolutionary effects. In this picture, Type-1 and Type-2 QSOs reflect different evolutionary phases, especially after galaxy mergers (e.g. Sanders et al. 1988; Hopkins et al. 2006). Some researchers even find that the environment around Type-2 AGNs could be denser than Type-1 AGNs (Cai et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2023). Investigating the relative abundances of Type-1 and Type-2 QSOs across cosmic

* E-mail: bwang@mail.strw.leidenuniv.nl

time can help us to better understand the physical processes producing these two different kinds of QSOs, and further understand the SMBH accretion and SMBH/galaxy co-evolution.

In the past decades, large-scale surveys have discovered substantial numbers of Type-1 QSOs, with more than 750,000 identified through dedicated programs such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; e.g., Schneider et al. 2007; Lyke et al. 2020) and the ongoing Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI) survey (e.g., Chaussidon et al. 2023; DESI Collaboration et al. 2024). Dedicated efforts have pushed the Type-1 QSO frontier to $z > 7$ (e.g. Bañados et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2021). For Type-2 QSOs, dust obscuration makes them faint in the UV/optical; thus, multi-wavelength data are needed to isolate these objects. Sizable samples of Type-2 QSOs have been identified from mid-IR, X-ray, and the SDSS prior to the launch of JWST (e.g. Zakamska et al. 2003; Haas et al. 2004; Martínez-Sansigre et al. 2006; Alonso-Herrero et al. 2006; Brand et al. 2007; Alexandroff et al. 2013; Lacy et al. 2013a; Yuan et al. 2016). However, the majority of these Type-2 quasars are low-luminosity objects at $z < 1$. The number of spectroscopically confirmed luminous Type-2 QSO at $z > 2$ is still very limited (Lacy et al. 2013a), leading to a poorly understood QSO luminosity function (QLF) for obscured QSO populations (Glikman et al. 2018).

The identification of obscured quasars relies on multi-wavelength selection techniques combined with spectroscopic confirmation. Optical Type-2 QSO samples from SDSS spectroscopy represent the most extensive collections at low redshifts $z < 1$ (Zakamska et al. 2003, 2006; Reyes et al. 2008; Yuan et al. 2016). X-ray surveys offer an alternative method to uncover Type-2 AGN (Polletta et al. 2006; Brandt & Alexander 2015; Peca et al. 2023, 2024); however, these surveys often depend on uncertain or poorly calibrated photometric redshifts and X-ray surveys will miss Compton-thick objects with high photoelectric obscuring columns $N_{\text{H}} > 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Nevertheless, previous multi-wavelength surveys of Type-2 quasars have assembled substantial samples that allow measurements of the obscured-to-unobscured quasar ratio (Type-2:Type-1) at $z \lesssim 2$. According to the AGN unification model, the number density of Type-1 and Type-2 QSOs should be comparable through cosmic time, both peaking at $z \sim 2.5$ (Richards et al. 2006; Ross et al. 2013). Decades of AGN/QSO censuses across the electromagnetic spectrum (e.g. Zakamska et al. 2003; Assef et al. 2013; Lacy et al. 2013a; Yan et al. 2013; Brandt & Alexander 2015) have led to the consensus that the Type-2: Type-1 ratio is comparable at low redshift $z < 1$ (e.g. Reyes et al. 2008; Lawrence & Elvis 2010; Merloni et al. 2014), consistent with the unification models.

However, recent JWST observations suggest the presence of a previously undetected, substantial population of potentially obscured AGN at high redshift ($4 \lesssim z \lesssim 9$), often referred to as "little red dots" (LRDs; e.g., (Matthee et al. 2023; Greene et al. 2023; Kocevski et al. 2023)). Some of these sources show broad emission lines in their spectra, suggestive of active accretion onto a supermassive black hole (e.g. Kokorev et al. 2023; Harikane et al. 2023). Intriguingly, the inferred obscured-to-unobscured ratio from these JWST detections is possibly as extreme as $\sim 10^{3-4} : 1$ (Pizzati et al. 2024), far exceeding expectations from previous models. Following the Soltan argument (Soltan 1982), this would suggest as much SMBH growth at $z \gtrsim 4$ as at later times (Inayoshi 2025). But the redshift distribution of these LRDs is mainly at $z = 4 - 9$ (e.g. Akins et al. 2024). It remains uncertain whether a similarly large obscured population exists at intermediate redshifts at $2 < z < 4$. This gap introduces substantial uncertainty in our understanding of the cosmic history of SMBH growth and the full evolution of the quasar population.

Identifying the obscured QSO population at intermediate redshift

$2 < z < 4$ is the key to bridging this gap. Mid-infrared (mid-IR) selection provides a more complete and less biased approach to identifying obscured quasars. Color-selection criteria, such as mid-IR color wedges defined using *Spitzer* (Lacy et al. 2004; Stern et al. 2005; Polletta et al. 2008; Donley et al. 2012) and later WISE (Assef et al. 2013; Glikman et al. 2018) have been used to select AGN candidates that are subsequently confirmed via optical and IR spectroscopy (Lacy et al. 2013a,b; Glikman et al. 2018). However, the redshift distribution of mid-IR-selected Type-2 quasars shows a sharp decline beyond $z > 1$, with only 18 spectroscopically confirmed luminous Type-2 QSOs known at $z > 2$ in Lacy et al. (2013a); Glikman et al. (2018).

A very simple selection requiring a red optical to mid-IR $r - [24 \mu\text{m}] \gtrsim 8$ color (AB), has been shown to efficiently identify $z \gtrsim 2$ dust obscured galaxies (DOGs) (Brand et al. 2007; Dey et al. 2008; Yan et al. 2013). The effectiveness of DOG selection is intuitive: adopting the reddest possible mid-IR band probes the peak of the AGN IR SED ($\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 10 \mu\text{m}$), while demanding a red $r - [24 \mu\text{m}]$ color excludes objects with AGN emission at bluer wavelengths. The DOG selection uncovers a large population of the obscured populations with all diversity, and the number density $\sim 0.9 \text{ deg}^{-2}$ is comparable to the Type-1 QSO population with the same W4 flux level (Yan et al. 2013).

A notable subset of WISE-selected AGNs are the so-called "W1W2 dropouts" or Hot Dust Obscured Galaxies (Hot DOGs), characterized by extremely red mid-IR spectral energy distributions. Published spectra of ~ 60 Hot DOGs indicate that ~ 40 are type-2s at $z \gtrsim 2$ (Wu et al. 2012; Tsai et al. 2015). However, these objects are nearly an order of magnitude less abundant in the sky (252 Hot-DOGs in $32,000 \text{ deg}^2$ Eisenhardt et al. 2012; Assef et al. 2015) than DOGs, implying that they likely constitute the (mid-IR) reddest subset of the Type-2 population. Most WISE-selected obscured quasars are still located at $z < 2$, and their number density is well below Type-1 QSOs. Some extremely red quasars (ERQs) and heavily red-denied quasars (HRQs) are also selected using the IR selection (e.g. Ross et al. 2015; Banerji et al. 2015; Zakamska et al. 2016; Hamann et al. 2017; Alexandroff et al. 2018; Temple et al. 2019; Zakamska & Alexandroff 2023). However, these samples often include optical magnitude cuts like $i < 20.5$, introducing significant luminosity biases and making them a subset of the whole obscured population.

In this project, we present spectroscopic observations of a sample of obscured QSOs at $z \sim 2$ (Ishikawa et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2025), using the DOG selection in the SDSS Stripe82 region. The spectra of these targets are obtained with state-of-the-art ground-based optical and near-infrared telescopes: Keck and Gemini. These 24 candidates are selected using a red color selection ($r - W4 > 8.38$). Gemini/GNIRS is used for identifying the 24 targets, 20 of which are further observed with Keck to better constrain the redshift and reveal the rest-UV spectra. The SED fitting of this sample reveals the IR emission is dominated by the hot dust torus, and the rest-UV/optical is the combination of the AGN and galaxy emission (Wang et al. 2025). This paper is divided as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the target selection, observation, and data reduction. In Section 3, we conduct the emission line fitting, discuss the line property and spectral diversity based on the line fitting. In Section 4, we discuss interesting, individual sources. In Section 6, we generate the composite spectra for all 23 identified targets.

2 DATA COLLECTION

In this section, we present the target selection, spectroscopic observation using Keck and Gemini, and the data reduction.

2.1 Target Selection

The selection of Type-2 QSO candidates in SDSS Stripe82 (Wang et al. 2025) is designed using the r -band photometry from SDSS/DESI Legacy Survey and $W4$ photometry from ALLWISE:

$$\begin{aligned} 12.62 < W4 < 14.62 \text{ AB mag} \\ \text{AND } \text{SNR}_{W4} > 5 \\ \text{AND } (r > 23 \text{ AB mag OR } r - W4 > 8.38 \text{ AB mag}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The SED of the hot dust torus peaks at rest-frame $10\mu\text{m}$. The WISE $W4$ bands probe the peak of the SED at $z \sim 2$. The upper magnitude limit of the $W4$ band is to select the most IR-luminous Type-2 QSOs. The lower limit of the magnitude range excludes bright stars or bright galaxies at low redshift. We further require candidates to be either non-detected in SDSS ($r > 23$ in the SDSS Stripe 82 catalog) or with a red color cut: $r - W4 > 8.38$ to exclude the Type-1 QSOs. This selection leads to 24 candidates in 164 deg^2 SDSS Stripe82 region.

2.2 Spectroscopic Observation

2.2.1 Gemini/GNIRS spectra

To confirm the spectroscopic redshift of all 24 candidates, we use the Gemini Near-Infrared Spectrograph (Elias et al. 2006, GNIRS) on the Gemini North telescope to conduct the spectroscopic observation. These candidates are expected to be at $z \sim 2$ so the $H\alpha$ and $[\text{O III}]$ emission lines are expected to be covered by GNIRS. The candidates were observed under GN-2017B-Q-51 (PI: Gordon Richards) for several nights in 2017-09, 2017-10, and 2018-01. The grating was 32/mmSB which covers $0.9 - 2.4\mu\text{m}$ with spectral resolution of $R \sim 1100$. The exposure time for each candidate was 2400s, and the observations were performed using an ABBA sequence. The spectroscopic classifications were published first in Ishikawa et al. (2023) and Wang et al. (2025).

2.2.2 Keck/LRIS spectra

We choose 18 targets (17 Type-2 QSO candidates and one inconclusive target) to conduct a follow-up observation using the Keck Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (Oke et al. 1995, LRIS), to better confirm the redshifts and reveal the rest-UV spectra. The observations were taken on the night of 27 September 2022 and over the course of a 3-night run from 26-28 October 2022. We observed these targets using a $1.0''$ long-slit, 2×2 binning, 560 dichroic and 600/4000 grating for the blue band with resolution $\sim 4\text{\AA}$ covering 3040 to $5630\text{\AA}$. The grating for the red band is: 400/8500, $1.0''$ long slit, resolution around $7\text{\AA}$, $\Delta\lambda = 4762\text{\AA}$ with the central wavelength $7980\text{\AA}$. The average exposure time for each candidate is 1800s. The $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and CIV emission lines are detected in the LRIS spectra. The typical seeing was $1.2''$.

2.2.3 Keck/KCWI spectra

We further observed two targets with the Keck Cosmic Web Imager Integral Field Spectrograph (Morrissey et al. 2018, KCWI), to better constrain their redshift and reveal extended $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission around

these QSOs. We used Large Slicer, BL grating which covers 3258 - $5600\text{\AA}$ on the blue. The large slicer covers a sky area with $30'' \times 16''$. For the red side KCRM, we used RL grating covering 5550-9239 $\text{\AA}$. The exposure time is $2 \times 900\text{s}$ and $4 \times 430\text{s}$ on the blue (KCWI) and red (KCRM), respectively. The observation was conducted on the night of 28 January 2025. The typical seeing was $1.2''$.

2.3 Data Reduction

The Gemini and Keck observation data were reduced using the Pyepit pipeline (Prochaska et al. 2020). This pipeline can automatically produce calibrated 2-D spectra. Then we coadd the individual 2-D spectra and use manual extraction to get the 1-D spectra. The flux calibration and telluric correction are conducted using a standard star observed on the same night.

The Gemini/GNIRS observation contains two ABBA sequences, eight exposures for every target. The Pyepit pipeline produces four 2-D spectra for each object. We check these 2-D spectra to find the object manually and then coadd the 2-D spectra using the object as a reference. This results in one coadded 2-D spectrum. The 1-D spectra are extracted manually or automatically from the coadded 2-D spectra. Then we apply the flux calibration and telluric correction on the 1-D spectra using the standard star.

The Keck/LRIS observation contains two exposures in the blue and four exposures in the red for each object. Pyepit can produce 2-D spectra for each individual exposure. Then we coadd all the 2-D spectra and then extract the 1-D spectra from that.

The reduction for Keck/KCWI is more complex since this is an Integral Field Unit (IFU) instrument. Firstly, Pyepit can produce 2-D spectra for each individual exposure. Then we manually check the 2-D spectra and find any emission line signal. After that, we combine all the 2-D spectra to generate a data cube. The flux calibration is performed by extracting the spectrum of a standard star from the data cube. The wavelength of the emission line signal is used to generate the wavelength range of the white light. Finally, we manually extract the 1-D spectra from the data cube based on the position of the target in the white light image.

Among our whole sample, 17 targets have GNIRS and LRIS spectra, two targets have GNIRS and KCWI spectra, and four targets only have GNIRS spectra. For the targets with multi-wavelength spectra from Keck and Gemini, we first rebin and coadd these spectra. We rebin all spectra to a pixel scale of $dv = 120\text{km/s}$ in velocity space at the rest-frame. For the overlapping region, we take the flux with higher SNR as the final flux. Figures 1 to 4 present example spectra in three separate wavelength windows for better visibility of key lines. Figures 1 shows the two highest- z targets ($z > 3$). Figures 2 shows the targets with narrow $H\alpha$ emission. Figures 3 and Figures 4 present some targets with broad $H\alpha$ or $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emissions.

3 RESULTS

In this section, we present the emission line fitting results. We discuss the spectral diversity based on the line width of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and $H\alpha$, and the relation between the bolometric luminosity and the $[\text{O III}]$ line luminosity.

3.1 Target Identification

Among 24 targets, we see emission lines for 23 targets in the Gemini and Keck spectra. Based on the line detection, we identified 23 targets as real Type-2 or reddened Type-1 QSOs at $z \sim 2$, yielding a very high




Figure 1. Example spectra from Keck and Gemini, and the fitted emission lines for 2 highest- z ($z > 3$) Type-2 QSO targets in our sample. The Left panel shows the spectra from Keck/LRIS (or Keck/KCWI) and Gemini/GNIRS, the green lines mark the detected emission line and the blue dashed lines are the undetected emission lines. The target name and redshift are shown in the title of the subplots. The right panel shows the Gaussian fitted emission lines for Ly α and [O III]. The flux is shown in blue, and the fitted Gaussian profile is shown in orange. The red lines mark the line center of Ly α or [O III] 5008, and the green line marks the line center of [O III] 4960. The FWHM and the line luminosity are labeled in the plots.




Figure 2. Example spectra and line fittings for 2 targets with narrow ($< 2000 \text{ km/s}$) $\text{H}\alpha$ emission line. The top target has a strong absorption feature at the wavelength of $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and NV emission. The $\text{H}\alpha$ is located at the edge of the GNIRS coverage, making it difficult to measure the line width. The bottom panel shows a target with both narrow $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and narrow $\text{H}\alpha$ emission lines.




Figure 3. Example spectra and line fitting for 2 targets with broad H α or Ly α emissions. The upper panel shows a target J0221+0050 with broad H α and narrow Ly α emission. The broad emission lines are not expected for typical obscured QSOs, but it is a common feature in some ERQs and JWST AGNs. The lower panel shows a target with broad Ly α but narrow H α emission line.




Figure 4. Example spectra and line fitting for 1 target with both broad Ly α and H α emission lines. This target J2334+0031 is the only target in our sample with both broad Ly α and H α emission lines. This target also has broad CIV, CIII, and MgII emission lines.

success rate ($\sim 96\%$). Of the 23 confirmed targets, 12 are at $z > 2$ ($\sim 52\%$). One target, J0047+0003, remains inconclusive, since there are no obvious emission lines within the wavelength coverage of the Keck and Gemini spectra. It could be a very faint object or a spurious WISE source; identification of this target may require observations with JWST.

3.2 Line Fitting

The redshift measurements are mainly based on the detection of Ly α and H α emission lines. For two targets, the H α emission is beyond the wavelength coverage of the Gemini/GNIRS, and we use Ly α and [O III] emission to measure the redshift. First, we manually check the spectra and identify the emission lines to determine the rough spectroscopic redshift. Then, we fit a Gaussian profile to every emission line to get the accurate redshift, line width, and line luminosity. For single emission lines like Ly α and H α we fit a single Gaussian profile. For other lines like [O III], two Gaussian profiles are used to fit the doublet.

The fitted line profiles are shown in the right subplots from Figure 1 to Figure 4. The observed flux is shown in blue, and the fitted Gaussian profiles are shown in orange. The red line marks the fitted line center. The FWHM and the line luminosity are labeled on the upper left of each plot.

3.3 Ly α and H α Emission Lines

Among the 23 identified targets, 13 targets have Ly α detection in the Keck spectra, and 21 targets have H α detection in the Gemini

spectra. The fitted line properties of Ly α and H α are summarized in Table 1.

For the 11 targets with both Ly α and H α detected, we can compare the line properties of these two lines. We define a broad line as FWHM > 2000 km/s and a narrow line as FWHM < 2000 km/s (Zakamska et al. 2003; Hao et al. 2005). According to this definition, six targets have narrow H α and narrow Ly α emission lines, three targets have broad H α and narrow Ly α emission lines, one target has narrow H α and broad Ly α emission lines, and one target has broad H α and broad Ly α emission lines. The targets in our sample show a diversity of this line width. The relation of Ly α and H α FWHM is shown in Figure 5.

3.3.1 Narrow H α and Narrow Ly α

A narrow emission line (< 2000 km/s) is the typical identifying spectral feature for Type-2 QSOs at low- z (e.g. Zakamska et al. 2003; Alexandroff et al. 2013; Lacy et al. 2013a). We have five targets with both the Ly α and H α detections that are narrow, which is consistent with these previous Type-2 QSOs found at low redshift.

3.3.2 Broad H α and Broad Ly α

Presence of broad emission lines defines Type-1 QSOs. One target, J2334+0031, has broad lines in both the Ly α and H α detections (see Fig 4). This target also has broad CIV, CIII, and MgII emission lines. The SED and photometry of this target are obviously different from Type-1 QSOs, as it is redder than the extreme red QSOs in SDSS (Wang et al. 2025).

Table 1. The redshift, emission lines used to get the redshift, line luminosity, and FWHM of Ly α and H α for all the targets in this sample.

Target	z	Ref Line	$\log(L_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \text{ (erg/s)})$	$\text{FWHM}_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \text{ (km/s)}$	$\log(L_{\text{H}\alpha} \text{ (erg/s)})$	$\text{FWHM}_{\text{H}\alpha} \text{ (km/s)}$	Spectra
J0024-0012	1.53	[O III], H α	-	-	10 ^{42.92}	2144.99	GNIRS+LRIS
J0041-0029	2.09	H α	-	-	42.92	438.86	GNIRS+LRIS
J0047+0003	-	-	-	-	-	-	GNIRS+LRIS
J0054+0047	2.17	H α	-	-	42.76	879.38	GNIRS+LRIS
J0105-0023	1.87	Ly α , H α	42.72	1448.37	42.81	699.84	GNIRS+LRIS
J0112-0016	2.99	Ly α , [O III]	43.27	889.15	-	-	GNIRS+LRIS+KCWI
J0113+0029	2.33	H α	-	-	43.08	1107.72	GNIRS
J0130+0009	2.51	H α	-	-	43.82	3272.59	GNIRS
J0149+0052	1.85	H α	-	-	43.10	924.22	GNIRS
J0150+0056	3.49	Ly α , [O III]	43.38	553.86	-	-	GNIRS+KCWI
J0152-0024	2.78	Ly α , H α	42.97	725.51	43.96	1382.12	GNIRS+LRIS
J0213+0024	1.81	H α	-	-	43.74	3849.89	GNIRS+KCWI
J0214-0000	1.63	H α	-	-	43.21	1145.28	GNIRS+LRIS
J0215+0042	0.88	H α	-	-	43.17	2683.46	GNIRS
J0221+0050	2.48	Ly α , H α	42.43	695.90	43.91	3918.23	GNIRS+LRIS
J2229+0022	1.93	Ly α , H α	42.95	1173.02	43.03	747.91	GNIRS+LRIS
J2233-0004	1.60	H α	-	-	43.21	1237.80	GNIRS+LRIS
J2239-0030	1.97	Ly α , H α	43.19	2324.75	42.52	1511.77	GNIRS+LRIS
J2239-0054	2.09	Ly α , H α	43.54	1734.92	42.78	1295.67	GNIRS+LRIS
J2243+0017	1.91	Ly α , H α	42.72	1295.17	43.57	4776.12	GNIRS+LRIS
J2258-0022	2.42	Ly α , H α	42.65	1508.45	43.07	764.07	GNIRS+LRIS
J2259-0009	1.89	Ly α , H α	42.39	907.63	43.68	3009.32	GNIRS+LRIS
J2329+0020	2.67	Ly α , H α	42.65	1007.21	44.15	2850.25	GNIRS+LRIS
J2334+0031	2.10	Ly α , H α	43.42	2808.96	43.64	2112.14	GNIRS+LRIS

3.3.3 Broad H α and Narrow Ly α

Four of our targets have broad H α emission lines but narrow Ly α emission lines. A broad H α emission line is usually not expected given the standard picture of Type-2 QSOs based on low- z ($z \lesssim 1$) observations, but it is detected in many JWST AGNs (or LRDs) (e.g. [Kokorev et al. 2023](#); [Harikane et al. 2023](#)). In our sample, 7/21 targets have broad H α emission lines. It is puzzling that we still see the broad H α emission lines despite using red colors to select the most obscured QSOs. One possible scenario is that there is obscuration toward the H α emitting region, then the UV-emitting region would be fully obscured and Ly α would be produced on larger scales by a different mechanism and be narrower, or at least have different kinematics as they represent different regions. In our SED fitting paper ([Wang et al. 2025](#)), we show that the rest-UV/optical composite SEDs of our targets are very red and similar to LRDs. These broad-line targets may further reveal the potential connection of our Type-2 QSOs to JWST LRDs with broad emission lines.

3.3.4 Narrow H α and Broad Ly α

We have one target with narrow H α but broad Ly α emission lines. The mechanism to produce the inconsistency of these two lines is still unclear. One possible scenario is that the broad Ly α is emitted in the broad line region (BLR) while the narrow H α arises from the narrow line region (NLR; [Zhou et al. 2006](#)). It could also be due to so-called selective extinction, whereby internal reddening may suppress broad Balmer emission more than Ly α emission ([Netzer et al. 1982](#)).

4 DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TARGETS

In this section, we discuss some special targets with interesting spectral features.

4.1 J0150+0056

This target is the highest redshift ($z = 3.49$) Type-2 QSO in our sample (lower panel in Figure 1). Initially, this target only had a Gemini/GNIRS spectrum, and we considered the single emission line to be H α ([Ishikawa et al. 2023](#)). However, after the Keck/KCWI observations, we identified a strong Ly α emission line in KCWI, and the emission line in GNIRS spectra turns out to be [O III]. The redshift of this target is $z = 3.49$ by fitting the line center of Ly α and [O III]. This target is also the brightest target in our sample ($L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{48.08} \text{ erg/s}$, see [Wang et al. \(2025\)](#) for the L_{bol} estimate). Assuming the Eddington limit, the black hole mass should be above $10^{9.98} M_{\odot}$, placing it at the bright and massive end of SMBHs at this redshift.

4.2 J0152-0024

This target is a $z = 2.77$ Type-2 QSOs confirmed by the Keck/LRIS and Gemini/GNIRS spectra (upper panel in Figure 2). The H α line of this target is located at the edge of the GNIRS coverage, so it is difficult to measure the full line FWHM. There is a strong absorption line feature at Ly α and the NV λ 1240 emission line. We measure the Ly α emission line has FWHM about 720.62 km/s, but this could be underestimated, due to the strong absorption feature. We also notice that a similar absorption feature is seen at the SiIV λ 1396 emission line. There is also an 2480 km/s velocity offset between the Ly β emission line and the expected line wavelength.

4.3 J0221+0050

J0221+0050 object is a $z = 2.48$ Type-2 QSO confirmed by both the Keck and Gemini spectra (upper panel in Figure 3). Only H α and Ly α emission lines are detected in the spectra. The H α has a broad $\sim 3918 \text{ km/s}$ component, but the Ly α is narrow ($\sim 695 \text{ km/s}$). Such a




Figure 5. The FWHM of $H\alpha$ and $Ly\alpha$ of 11 targets in our sample. The X-axis is the FWHM of the $Ly\alpha$ emission lines, and the y-axis is the $H\alpha$ FWHM. The 2000km/s is marked as black dashed lines. According to this definition, five targets have narrow $H\alpha$ and narrow $Ly\alpha$ emission lines, four targets have broad $H\alpha$ and narrow $Ly\alpha$ emission lines, one targets have narrow $H\alpha$ and broad $Ly\alpha$ emission lines, and one target has broad $H\alpha$ and broad $Ly\alpha$ emission lines. Our targets show spectral diversity in the line width.

broad $H\alpha$ emission line is not expected in typical Type-2 QSOs, but is commonly detected in many JWST LRDs. Considering the similar UV SED shape of these targets and the JWST LRDs (Wang et al. 2025), such broad-line obscured QSOs could be the lower- z analogs of JWST LRDs.

4.4 J2334+0031

Target J2334+0031 is the only object with both broad $H\alpha$ and $Ly\alpha$ in our sample (see Figure 4). This target is confirmed to be a Type-2 QSO at $z = 2.09$ by Gemini and then further observed by Keck/LRIS. The FWHM of $Ly\alpha$ for this target is 2808km/s and the $H\alpha$ is 2112km/s. Such a line width is similar to the typical Type-1 QSOs. However, the photometry and SED of this target are different from the typical UV-bright Type-1 QSO. Besides, there is a blueshift of the NV emission line. Due to the low resolution of Keck/LRIS, we don't know if there is an strong absorption between the $Ly\alpha$ and NV emission line. We are also not sure if this causes the blueshift of the NV emission line. The CIV, CIII] and MgII emission line show an asymmetric line profile, and the line peak of CIII] shows a $\sim 1776\text{km/s}^{-1}$ offset from the expected position. The broad and asymmetric line profiles are reported in some ERQs (et al. 2019; Villar Martín et al. 2020; Gillette et al. 2024). The broad-line regions in ERQs are typically outflow-dominated, and these outflows can produce strong blueshifted or broad emission lines (e.g. Gillette et al.

2024). ERQs could also be very luminous Type-1 quasars viewed at an intermediate orientation, and this orientation allows a direct view of the outer part of the large broad-line region (e.g. Villar Martín et al. 2020). These two scenarios can explain the broad and asymmetric line profiles for this target J2334+0031.

5 THE $L_{[\text{OIII}]}$ – L_{BOL} SCALING RELATION

The [O III] line is forbidden and is expected to arise from the narrow-line region, because its critical density, $n_{\text{crit}} \approx 7 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$ is exceeded by the much higher density gas, $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^9\text{--}10^{11} \text{cm}^{-3}$ broad-line region (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). In both Type-1 and Type-2 QSOs, [O III] is powered by the same UV emission from the AGN (Stern & Laor 2012). However, the UV continuum is obscured and reprocessed by the mid-IR emission in Type-2 QSOs. Thus, a relation between the [O III] line luminosity and the mid-IR luminosity (or bolometric luminosity determined from the mid-IR emission) is expected (e.g. Zakamska et al. 2003; Lamasra et al. 2009; Lacy et al. 2013a).

Lacy et al. (2013a) studied this relation with a sample of ~ 100 Type-2 QSOs at $z < 2$. Specifically, they observed that the luminosity of the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ line, without any extinction correction, strongly correlates with the mid-IR luminosity, νL_{ν} , at $15\mu\text{m}$. We use the torus template from Stalevski et al. (2016) and our composite SED

in Wang et al. (2025) to determine the relation between the luminosity at $15\mu\text{m}$ (observed frame at $z \sim 2$) and the bolometric luminosity¹:

$$L_{\text{bol}} = 6.03 \times L_{15\mu\text{m}}. \quad (2)$$

Using this relation, the luminosity at $15\mu\text{m}$ in Lacy et al. (2013a) can be used to compute the bolometric luminosity, which assumes their targets have the same mid-IR SED shape as our targets. The results are shown as the green dots in Figure 6. A green dotted line shows a simple power law fit (linear fit in the log) to these data.

In our Type-2 sample, 13/23 targets have an [O III] detection. We use a double-Gaussian profile with wavelength separation and relative line strength set by atomic physics to fit the emission line. The FWHM and the luminosity of this double-line can be estimated from the fitting. The [O III] luminosity is here defined to be the luminosity of [O III] λ 5007 alone. The fitted parameters are shown in Table 2, and the relation between the [O III] luminosity and the bolometric luminosity for our targets is shown as the colored stars in Figure 6. Since our targets don't have H β line detection, we couldn't conduct the extinction correction using

We also show the $L_{[\text{OIII}]} - L_{\text{bol}}$ relation from Lamastra et al. (2009), Zakamska et al. (2016) and LaMassa et al. (2010). Lamastra et al. (2009) estimate the bolometric correction $C_{[\text{OIII}]} = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{[\text{OIII}]}$ in different [O III] luminosity ranges. The bolometric luminosity is estimated from the X-ray luminosity. The $L_{[\text{OIII}]}^c$ here is the extinction corrected [O III] luminosity using:

$$L_{[\text{OIII}]}^c = L_{[\text{OIII}]} \left(\frac{(H\alpha/H\beta)_{\text{obs}}}{3.0} \right)^{2.94} \quad (3)$$

They found a mean value of $C_{[\text{OIII}]}$ in the luminosity ranges $\log L_{[\text{OIII}]} = 38\text{--}40$, $40\text{--}42$, and $42\text{--}44$ of 87, 142, and 454, respectively. However, we still plot this relation in Figure 6 as for comparison.

Zakamska et al. (2016) and LaMassa et al. (2010) summarized the relation between the luminosity at $13.5\mu\text{m}$ and the [O III] luminosity for Type-2 QSOs to be: $\log L_{\nu}[13.5\mu\text{m}] = 12.1 + 0.77\log L_{[\text{OIII}]}$ and $\log L_{\nu}[13.5\mu\text{m}] = 15.7 + 0.68\log L_{[\text{OIII}]}$. We assume their targets have a similar SED shape to ours, then we can use our SED to adopt the relation between the $L_{13.5\mu\text{m}}$ and the L_{bol} :

$$L_{\text{bol}} = 6.01 \times L_{13.5\mu\text{m}} \quad (4)$$

We plot the adopted bolometric luminosity and [O III] line luminosity as red and cyan dotted lines in Figure 6.

The [O III] luminosities in relations from Lamastra et al. (2009), LaMassa et al. (2010), and Zakamska et al. (2016) are all extinction corrected. The $L_{[\text{OIII}]}$ in Lacy et al. (2013a) and our sample is not dust extinction corrected due to the fact that we do not always detect both the H β or H α lines. Compared to the three extinction corrected relations, our targets show a lower [O III] luminosity, which is likely due to extinction. However, even comparing to the uncorrected sample in Lacy et al. (2013a), the [O III] luminosity is still below our fit to their data (green dotted line). Our targets are about $100\times$ brighter than the low- z objects in Lacy et al. (2013a), but the [O III] luminosity doesn't increase accordingly.

¹ We have a composite SED for Type-2 QSOs in Wang et al. (2025). From that composite SED, we can get the luminosity at $15\mu\text{m}$. The composite SED contains a torus model using the template from Stalevski et al. (2016), and then we calculate the torus luminosity by integrating the torus model. The bolometric luminosity is estimated using Equation 4 in Wang et al. (2025) assuming $R=0.5$. After that, we apply the relation between the $15\mu\text{m}$ luminosity and bolometric luminosity.

6 COMPOSITE SPECTRA

In this section, we generate composite spectra for all 23 identified targets to better understand the spectral properties of Type-2 QSOs. To generate the composite spectra, we firstly define the scaling parameter using the WISE W4 flux for each target. All 23 targets are scaled to the median value of the WISE W4 flux (6.53mJy), and then the same scaling parameter is applied to the spectra. Then we take the median flux value at each wavelength to get the composite spectra. The final composite spectra is shown in Figure 7. The top panel shows the composite spectra for all 23 targets (black), and the middle panel shows the composite spectra for nine targets with broad H α emission (blue). Here we further include target J0152-0024 into the broad H α group. Since the H α emission for this target fall at the edge of the wavelength coverage, making the fitted FWHM with a single Gaussian profile could be an underestimate of the intrinsic value. The bottom panel shows the composite spectrum only for 12 targets with narrow H α emission lines (green).

The red dashed lines mark the typical emission lines for QSOs. The bottom sub-plots show the number of QSOs contributing to each wavelength.

From our composite spectra, we see the tentative detection of some relative weak rest-optical lines like: [OII] λ 3782, [NeIII] λ 3869, H β , [OI] λ 6300, and [SII] λ 6716. The Type-2 composite spectra in Lacy et al. (2004) show both strong high-ionization narrow lines and low-ionization lines such as [O I], but it lacks coronal lines. Hainline et al. (2011) generated the rest-UV composite spectra for Type-2 AGNs and find a median velocity difference between Ly α and He II to be $\Delta v = 208\text{km s}^{-1}$. They also find a red UV continuum slope produced by dust extinction and some rare emission lines like N IV] λ 1486. Compare to these two composite spectra from previous surveys, our composite spectra don't show a strong detection of HeII emission line. Our composite cover the rest-optical emissions which Hainline et al. (2011) doesn't cover, and a comparison between our composite and the composite in Lacy et al. (2013a) could give us a idea if the obscured population is evolving with redshift. A detailed discussion between different Type-2 composite spectra will be presented in a future paper.

The weak lines ([OII] λ 3782, [NeIII] λ 3869, H β , [OI] λ 6300, and [SII] λ 6716) are usually used to build the BPT line ratio diagram to identify AGNs or galaxies (e.g. Mazzolari et al. 2024). However, even the most powerful ground-based telescopes like Keck and Gemini can't detect these weak lines individually for the most luminous Type-2 QSOs at $z \sim 2$. Only JWST observations can further reveal these weak lines and help us better understand the relation between these obscured populations and other galaxies or AGNs.

7 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present the spectroscopic observation results for 23 IR-luminous Type-2 QSOs using Keck and Gemini. These targets are selected using an $r - W4$ color cut, and the Gemini and Keck spectroscopic observations confirm that these targets are at $z = 0.88 - 3.49$ (12 are at $z > 2$). The SED fitting results are published in a previous paper (Wang et al. 2025). Our main conclusions based on these spectra are:

(i) Our targets show significant spectral diversity in the relative widths of their emission lines. Five of our targets have narrow Ly α and H α emission lines, which is consistent with some typical Type-2 QSOs. One of our targets J2334+0041 has both broad Ly α and H α emission, which is more similar to typical Type-1 QSOs. However,

Table 2. Thereshdift, [O III] line property, and bolometric luminosity for 13 targets.

Target	z	$\log(L_{[\text{OIII}]} (\text{erg/s}))$	$\text{FWHM}_{4960} (\text{km/s})$	$\text{FWHM}_{5007} (\text{km/s})$	$\log(L_{\text{bol}})$
J0024-0012	1.53	43.04	-	1045.61	46.81
J0112-0016	2.99	43.55	336.24	324.74	47.35
J0149+0052	1.85	43.57	1289.20	1417.45	46.93
J0150+0056	3.49	43.91	-	2712.18	48.08
J0152-0024	2.78	43.93	-	1047.80	47.49
J0214-0000	1.63	43.43	616.39	450.66	46.85
J0215+0042	0.88	42.81	-	1125.59	46.28
J2229+0022	1.93	43.03	-	690.97	47.32
J2239-0030	1.97	42.08	-	369.25	47.20
J2239-0054	2.09	42.79	-	924.68	47.12
J2243+0017	1.91	43.74	1216.76	1022.27	46.94
J2259-0009	1.89	43.29	-	1711.87	47.07
J2334+0031	2.10	43.23	572.67	653.70	46.91




Figure 6. The relation between the luminosity of the [O III] emission line and the bolometric luminosity. The relations from Lamastra et al. (2009), LaMassa et al. (2010), and Zakamska et al. (2016) are shown as blue, cyan, and red lines. The green dots are the Type-2 AGN measurements from Lacy et al. (2013a). The luminosities at $15\mu\text{m}$ of these targets are scaled to estimate the bolometric luminosity. The colored stars are the measurements of 13 targets with [O III] detection in our sample. The green dashed line presents a power-law fit (linear fit on log scale) to the green data points. The [O III] luminosities in relations from Lamastra et al. (2009), LaMassa et al. (2010), and Zakamska et al. (2016) are all extinction corrected. The $L_{[\text{OIII}]}$ in Lacy et al. (2013a) and our sample is not dust extinction corrected due to the non-detection of $\text{H}\beta$ or $\text{H}\alpha$ emissions. Compared to the three corrected relations, our targets show a lower [O III] luminosity because of the dust extinction. However, even compared to the uncorrected sample in Lacy et al. (2013a), the [O III] luminosity is still below the fitting (green dotted line). Our targets are about $100\times$ brighter than the low- z objects in Lacy et al. (2013a), but the [O III] luminosity doesn't increase accordingly.

the UV-faintness and the SED shape make it different from the UV-bright Type-1 QSOs; we consider this target to be like some ERQs. Four of our targets have broad $\text{H}\alpha$ and narrow $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission. This feature is commonly seen in some JWST LRDs or broad-line AGNs. One of our targets has narrow $\text{H}\alpha$ and broad $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission, which could be caused by the viewing angle or the lines are raised from different regions (the broad $\text{Ly}\alpha$ is emitted in the broad line region (BLR) while the narrow $\text{H}\alpha$ arises from the narrow line region).

(ii) Three out of our targets have broad $\text{H}\alpha$ and narrow $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emis-

sion. Such a broad line is not usually seen in the low- z Type-2 QSOs, but it is a common feature for some JWST broad-line AGNs. Considering the similar UV SED shape (see Wang et al. (2025)), these targets could be the analog of JWST LRDs at lower- z . Our selection can uncover the diverse obscured population and could bridge the gap between low- z obscured QSOs and the JWST AGNs.

(iii) Compared to the previous Type-2 QSO samples at lower- z ($z < 2$) in Lacy et al. (2013b), our targets have bolometric luminosity over a hundred times larger. Since most of our targets don't have $\text{H}\beta$




Figure 7. The composite spectra for 23 targets in our sample. The top panel shows the composite spectra for all 23 targets, and the median panel shows the composite spectra for nine targets with broad $H\alpha$ emissions. The bottom panel shows the composite spectrum only for 12 targets with narrow $H\alpha$ emission lines. The red dashed lines mark the typical emission lines for QSOs. The bottom subplot shows the number of QSOs contributing to each wavelength

detections, it is not possible to perform extinction corrections of the [O III] line luminosity via the the Balmer decrement. Compared to the uncorrected [O III] luminosity and bolometric luminosity relation in [Lacy et al. \(2013b\)](#), our targets show relatively lower [O III] luminosity. The physics behind this low [O III] luminosity is not yet clear.

(iv) We constructed composite spectra for all 23 targets, only broad $H\alpha$ targets, and only narrow $H\alpha$ targets. The composite spec-

tra allow us to detect many weak lines, which is useful for us to better understand the spectral properties of this obscured population. However, the detection of such weak lines for individual objects, key for understanding the spectral diversity, would require higher signal-to-noise ratio observations with JWST.

Prior to the launch of JWST, multi-wavelength surveys have build a large sample of obscured QSOs at $z < 2$. The recent JWST observa-

tions suggest the presence of large obscured population at $4 < z < 9$. Our Type-2 QSO survey can bridge the gap between these two obscured populations at cosmic noon ($2 < z < 4$) and uncover the diverse obscured population. Building a census of Type-2 QSOs across cosmic time is key to understanding two questions: 1) if the obscured population is evolving; 2) what is the true nature of obscured QSOs. Gemini and Keck are the most powerful spectroscopic telescopes on the ground. They are sufficiently sensitive to detect the strongest emission lines and measure the redshifts of these heavily obscured QSOs with exposure times of 0.5-1 hour. Gemini spectra cover the rest-frame optical that is usually covered by low- z surveys, but our S/N is quite poor. So it is hard to make a comparison and reveal any differences. At low- z one does not have the rest-UV spectra that we cover in this paper, making the low- z to high- z comparison challenging. We see significant spectral diversity in the relative widths of the emission lines. Some targets show very broad emissions despite the obscuration. They don't seem to follow from a simple unification explanation. The higher S/N JWST spectra may allow us to do a comparison across the cosmic time to study if the obscured population is evolving. Besides, the detection of the weak emission lines will allow us to build a new BPT diagram, to better understand the relation of the obscured populations and other galaxies and AGNs.

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

BW and ZC are supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant no. 2023YFA1605600), the National Science Foundation of China (grant no. 12073014), the science research grants from the China Manned Space Project with No. CMS-CSST-2021-A05, and Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program (No. 20223080023).

JTS is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project number 518006966.

9 DATA AVAILABILITY

The Gemini/GNIRS spectra are public in the Gemini Observatory Archive under the proposal ID GN-2017B-Q-51. The Keck spectra are all public on the Keck Data Archival (KOA). The reduced version of all the spectra can be found at <https://github.com/samwang141224/Type-2QSOs/tree/main/spec-data>.

REFERENCES

S., Hamann F., Zakamska N. L., Alexandroff R. M., Rupke D., Wylezalek D., 2019, *MNRAS*, **488**, 4126
 Akins H. B., et al., 2024, *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2406.10341
 Alexandroff R., et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, **435**, 3306
 Alexandroff R. M., et al., 2018, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **479**, 4936
 Alonso-Herrero A., et al., 2006, *ApJ*, **640**, 167
 Antonucci R., 1993, *ARA&A*, **31**, 473
 Assef R. J., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, **772**, 26
 Assef R. J., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, **804**, 27
 Bañados E., et al., 2018, *Nature*, **553**, 473
 Banerji M., Alaghband-Zadeh S., Hewett P. C., McMahon R. G., 2015, *MNRAS*, **447**, 3368
 Brand K., et al., 2007, *ApJ*, **663**, 204
 Brandt W. N., Alexander D. M., 2015, *A&ARv*, **23**, 1
 Cai Z., et al., 2017, *ApJ*, **837**, 71
 Chaussidon E., et al., 2023, *ApJ*, **944**, 107

DESI Collaboration et al., 2024, *AJ*, **168**, 58
 Dey A., et al., 2008, *ApJ*, **677**, 943
 Donley J. L., et al., 2012, *ApJ*, **748**, 142
 Eisenhardt P. R. M., et al., 2012, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **755**
 Elias J. H., Joyce R. R., Liang M., Muller G. P., Hileman E. A., George J. R., 2006, in McLean I. S., Iye M., eds, *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 6269*, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy. p. 62694C, doi:10.1117/12.671817
 Gillette J., Hamann F., Lau M. W., Perrotta S., 2024, *MNRAS*, **527**, 950
 Glikman E., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **861**, 37
 Greene J. E., et al., 2023, *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2309.05714
 Haas M., et al., 2004, *A&A*, **419**, L49
 Hainline K. N., Shapley A. E., Greene J. E., Steidel C. C., 2011, *ApJ*, **733**, 31
 Hamann F., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, **464**, 3431
 Hao L., et al., 2005, *AJ*, **129**, 1795
 Harikane Y., et al., 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **959**, 39
 Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Robertson B., Springel V., 2006, *ApJS*, **163**, 1
 Inayoshi K., 2025, *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2503.05537
 Ishikawa Y., Wang B., Zakamska N. L., Richards G. T., Hennawi J. F., Rivera A. B., 2023, *MNRAS*, **522**, 350
 Kocevski D. D., et al., 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, **954**, L4
 Kokorev V., et al., 2023, *ApJ*, **957**, L7
 LaMassa S. M., Heckman T. M., Ptak A., Martins L., Wild V., Sonnentrucker P., 2010, *ApJ*, **720**, 786
 Lacy M., et al., 2004, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, **154**, 166
 Lacy M., et al., 2013a, *ApJS*, **208**, 24
 Lacy M., et al., 2013b, *ApJS*, **208**, 24
 Lamastra A., Bianchi S., Matt G., Perola G. C., Barcons X., Carrera F. J., 2009, *A&A*, **504**, 73
 Lawrence A., Elvis M., 2010, *ApJ*, **714**, 561
 Lyke B. W., et al., 2020, *ApJS*, **250**, 8
 Martínez-Sansigre A., Rawlings S., Lacy M., Fadda D., Jarvis M. J., Marleau F. R., Simpson C., Willott C. J., 2006, *MNRAS*, **370**, 1479
 Matthee J., et al., 2023, *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2306.05448
 Mazzolari G., et al., 2024, *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:2408.15615
 Merloni A., et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, **437**, 3550
 Morrissey P., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **864**, 93
 Netzer H., Wills B. J., Wills D., 1982, *ApJ*, **254**, 489
 Oke J. B., et al., 1995, *PASP*, **107**, 375
 Osterbrock D. E., Ferland G. J., 2006, *Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei*
 Peca A., et al., 2023, *ApJ*, **943**, 162
 Peca A., et al., 2024, *ApJ*, **974**, 156
 Pizzati E., et al., 2024, *MNRAS*, **534**, 3155
 Polletta M. d. C., et al., 2006, *ApJ*, **642**, 673
 Polletta M., Weedman D., Hönig S., Lonsdale C. J., Smith H. E., Houck J., 2008, *ApJ*, **675**, 960
 Prochaska J., et al., 2020, *The Journal of Open Source Software*, **5**, 2308
 Reyes R., et al., 2008, *AJ*, **136**, 2373
 Richards G. T., et al., 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 2766
 Ross N. P., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 14
 Ross N. P., et al., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **453**, 3932
 Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Madore B. F., Matthews K., Neugebauer G., Scoville N. Z., 1988, *ApJ*, **325**, 74
 Schmidt M., 1963, *Nature*, **197**, 1040
 Schneider D. P., et al., 2007, *AJ*, **134**, 102
 Soltan A., 1982, *MNRAS*, **200**, 115
 Stalewski M., Ricci C., Ueda Y., Lira P., Fritz J., Baes M., 2016, *MNRAS*, **458**, 2288
 Stern J., Laor A., 2012, *MNRAS*, **426**, 2703
 Stern D., et al., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **631**, 163
 Temple M. J., Banerji M., Hewett P. C., Coatman L., Maddox N., Peroux C., 2019, *MNRAS*, **487**, 2594
 Tsai C.-W., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, **805**, 90

- Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, [Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific](#), 107, 803
- Villar Martín M., Perna M., Humphrey A., Castro Rodríguez N., Binette L., Pérez González P. G., Mateos S., Cabrera Lavers A., 2020, [A&A](#), 634, A116
- Wang F., et al., 2021, [ApJ](#), 907, L1
- Wang B., et al., 2025, [arXiv e-prints](#), p. arXiv:2501.14026
- Wu J., et al., 2012, [ApJ](#), 756, 96
- Yan L., et al., 2013, [The Astronomical Journal](#), 145, 55
- Yuan S., Strauss M. A., Zakamska N. L., 2016, [MNRAS](#), 462, 1603
- Zakamska N. L., Alexandroff R. M., 2023, [Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society](#), 525, 2716
- Zakamska N. L., et al., 2003, [The Astronomical Journal](#), 126, 2125
- Zakamska N. L., et al., 2006, [AJ](#), 132, 1496
- Zakamska N. L., et al., 2016, [Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society](#), 459, 3144
- Zhang S., et al., 2023, [Science](#), 380, 494
- Zhou H., Wang T., Yuan W., Lu H., Dong X., Wang J., Lu Y., 2006, [ApJS](#), 166, 128

APPENDIX A: GEMINI AND KECK SPECTRA FOR ALL THE TARGETS

Here we show the Gemini and Keck spectra for the rest of the targets. Targets with both Keck and Gemini spectra are shown in Figure [A1](#). The four targets with Gemini spectra only are shown in Figure [A7](#).






















Figure A1. Reduced spectra and the fitted emission line for all our targets. Each left panel shows the coadded spectra from Keck and Gemini into three windows for better visibility. The dashed blue lines show the expected location of typical emission lines, and the dashed green lines show the detected lines. The right panel shows the fitting for Ly α , H α or [O III] emissions. The red dashed line shows the center of the emission line and the orange shows the Gaussian fitting.













Figure A6. Spectra and line fittings for all the targets in our sample.

**Figure A7.** Four targets in our sample with Gemini/GNIRS spectra only.